

Dear Jane, dear Derek,

Please hand this letter to all the other ones who were asking: "Why can God allow little children suffering all different kinds of pain, since God easily could stop all wickedness on earth and kill the devil. Is God really good? Can somebody love such a God?"

First of all I want to ask you: Is it wise to challenge God? Is it wise to question God's goodness? Iouo God is the creator of the universe. He is calling all the billions of billions of stars with their names. He created us humans. He was the one who created us that way that we are able to feel love at all! He is the creator of love in our hearts! He is the most powerful one in all the universe. Is it wise to draw into question his goodness? Isn't he able to punish you instantly? When you are a weak one is it then wise to start to fight against a stronger one, who is so much stronger that he has billions times billions times more power than you? Is that wise? Is it wise to draw into question if that one himself is also able to love in the right way to whom you owe your own capacity to love at all? Or do you want to say: "I can love better than the all-powerful God Iouo, who is claiming to be love itself." Is that a wise action?

Once a faithful man on earth tried to do the same. His name was Job! A whole book in the Bible speaks about Job's attempt to say: "I am more righteous than God." Do you know the story about Job? Do you know the outcome of his doubts in God? Was he anyhow right in doubting God's goodness? Read in your Bibles first the chapters 38-42 (until the verse 6) of the book of Job!

Job, however, was a most righteous man. There are many sinners and unrighteous people who are quick to draw God's goodness into doubt just because they want to say: "I can be wicked because in my eyes God is wicked, too." They like wickedness and disobedience to God's laws, and in order to hide their own wickedness they accuse God to be wicked. In their eyes Iouo God is the real source of wickedness, because as they say God is allowing all wickedness and doesn't do all in his power to prevent wickedness. So instead of repenting their own wickedness, their own sins and their own bad attributes they blame Iouo God for that. Job was chastised by God for his challenging God, but Iouo on the other hand didn't punish him with severe punishment, because Job nevertheless was at least a righteous man. But how will Iouo treat those who are challenging him because they simply want to hide and justify their own wickedness? Those people are not righteous like Job! Job got an answer from heaven. Those people will get a severe punishment from heaven.

How can somebody with clear mind be so presumptuous and so self-righteous that he can think and claim that God in reality is a bad one, this God to whom he owes everything in his life and every blessing that he gets and got. How can somebody be so presumptuous to claim that God is not able to love as much as he is. How can someone be so presumptuous to claim that the inventor of love in the first place needs us humans to explain to him what real love is and that he is not allowed to allow little children to suffer.

I can only say that real faith in God is not the faith that God exists. But real faith in God is to believe that everything what God does is good and that not any single argument and any single accusation against God will stand.

The real challenger of God is the devil. He is also called: the accuser. The devil is accusing God and says: "The real source of wickedness in reality is God himself." And he tries every kind of argumentation to find reasons against God. Those who love Iouo God, those who love what is good will not give in to the devil's argumentations. They rather believe in God's goodness than to join to any of those arguments, no matter if they already know the right answer against the devil's arguments or not.

Belief in God is like a daughter who believes in the reputation of her father. She simply knows her father and knows that he is no criminal. If anybody is coming along and is accusing her father to be a criminal she simply won't give in to such arguments. She never will believe in those accusations right away or doubt her father because of just listening to some gossip! She believes in the goodness of her father. She would fight to the last breath of her power to defend her father before anyhow giving in to any doubts about his goodness. She simply would know her father better and she would love him more than some wicked gossipers.

Why are humans so quick in forsaking the belief in the goodness of their heavenly father, to whom they owe life, sustenance, power to work, health and power to love? And to whom they owe their marriage partners, their children, their grandchildren and their parents?

Of course Iouo God knows the right satisfying answers to those challenges. Iouo will give an answer to those who challenge him. He will overthrow any doubts in his goodness and in his true love. Iouo's love will stand!

And therefore I now give some reasons why this challenging of God's goodness is not rightful and not appropriate. Of course Iouo God himself could give a totally more detailed answer than I am able to give. But I want to contribute in the defense of my dear and beloved heavenly father Iouo.

First of all I want to remark that the Witnesses teach the answer to this question totally correct and that I can only repeat this answer.

Would you say: The one who invented the knife is responsible for every murder committed by the use of a knife? After all a knife is something we need and what is good! God invented free will and free choice of what somebody can do. Free will is necessary for all of us. Nobody wants to be a robot. Free will is good. Is God now responsible for every wicked deed committed by using free will in a bad direction?

And when somebody killed a person by murder, would you say: The wicked one is the government of my country because this government doesn't allow me to kill the assassin right away by myself and instantly. Is the government wicked because the government first spends months after months for a big trial to convict the assassin before he gets his rightful punishment namely death sentence and electric chair? You would in reality not dare to pronounce your government as wicked because of installing judges, attorneys, jurors, a court and lawyers, police officers and jails to keep a murderer locked up before being sentenced.

Why then are you calling Iouo God wicked when he is doing just the same as every good government must do? You don't like a state of anarchy but you want God to act in ways of anarchy. God is doing the same as every honorable judge must do. He is allowing

time. He is allowing time to develop arguments and defenses. He is allowing Satan to prove his innocence and to develop strategies of doing so. Only if all parties have had enough time to show their defenses or accusations it will be time for the judgment, for the sentence. Be sure that Iouo is sentencing the devil at due time. The devil will die the everlasting death that he deserves. All sinful creatures who follow the devil will be sentenced as well. That will be very soon.

Then a world will come where no devil and where no willful sinner will live or arise or is allowed to live on. Then wicked ones will die the same moment they commit the wicked deed. They will then die forever. Then Iouo no more needs time for a trial. Why not? Because he then will already have had such a trial in the old world of now. This precedence will be sufficient for all eternity. Never again will a devil arise. Life then will be a paradisiacal life without wickedness around, without any child to suffer or die, without anyone suffering from wickedness, from sickness, from poverty, from natural disasters, from manmade accidents and so on.

But only in what case can Iouo rely on that first precedence in our old world? Only if Iouo covers all possible arguments of the defenders of the devil with counter-arguments. That needs time! Iouo simply has to allow the wickedness in all possible ways of the devil's strategy, so that in all eternity no one can for a second time start such a trial again. Iouo has to allow all kinds of wickedness, because the devil argued: "My wicked world is the better world for all creatures!" Iouo must allow the outcome of that claim and he needs the time to show to what such a wicked world leads. Of course Iouo bleeds in his heart when he sees what the devil does to the world, to little children, to unguilty men, to sick and ailing ones, to poor and hungry ones, to innocent people of all kinds!

But if Iouo now would give in to his own feelings and if he would not be strong enough to endure what the devil is doing during these some thousand years of precedence trial which now are about to end, if Iouo would not be strong enough to allow the wickedness this relatively short time-span, he would have this trouble for all eternity. No paradise-world would ever come! There could always arise some devil who claims the same wicked argument as the first devil. Iouo simply would not have the sealed right to stop wickedness because he didn't stop it the first instance of precedence. Some angel at some time could arise and doubt God's goodness and make many other ones think the same way. The world would be unstable and the cutting off of sinners would not be fully understood. Then people would accuse God not for allowing wickedness but for suppressing own thoughts and suppressing wickedness without prove. There might always arise a person who says: "Wickedness is actually a good thing! God just fears to allow it!" Then it will be as today when people claim that those wicked things like homosexuality, fornication, adultery, abortion, concentration camps, bombs, weapons of all kinds, nationalism, racism, totalitarianism, and many many other wicked things are totally normal and acceptable.

A father cannot kill someone just because that one was claiming the father was a criminal. That would not bring the arguing to an end. The opposite is the case. When the father kills the one who calls him a criminal it seems that the accuser maybe was right and that the father needed to hide his being a criminal just by sweeping away the accuser. The father makes himself suspicious. But he could give the accuser time to prove his accusation. And on the other hand the father's children who love him could try to blot out every blemish brought onto their father's reputation.

Likewise we as the children of Iouo, who love our creator and father, should do our utmost to cleanse Iouo's reputation, namely his name, instead of repeating the accusations of the accuser. You see how important a name is. God's name was dirtied. To fight for God's name is a thing of everyone who loves his father and creator dearly. That is one reason why I am working so hard for Iouo God's name, because a name not only then is a good name when being cleansed of all reproach, but it is also a good name when it is pronounced correctly.

Or would it be a good name of the father "Derek" when it is mixed with the word "Devil"? Would you like the name "Devilerek" instead of "Derek"? That is exactly what was done to God's name! They mixed the bad "Lord" (meaning Baal or Devil) not only into the Bible instead of God's name but also into God's name itself:

From IOUO was made JHVH - IOUO was God's original name
From Adonai was made e-o-a - Adonai means Lord or Baal or Devil

They mixed that together and it came out J e H o V a H > Jehovah

Would you, if you would be God like such a name? Derek would not like the name "Devilerek" either! And that with good reason!

So to Erica's question: you said: "I could not find the Jehovah's Witnesses to be the right religion." Erica, you are right. Like the word Jehovah is not God's name, so Jehovah's Witnesses cannot be the right religion. They not only curse God's name by using the devilish "Jehovah" instead, but they are also killing little children by the thousands because of not allowing blood transfusions which God does allow! Such a wicked thing never came up in God's heart according to Jeremiah 7:31 (compare: 2 Kings 17:17,31; 21:6; 23:10; 2 Chronicles 28:3; 33:6). They still do a lot of many other wicked things which I pointed out in my book "True Christian Worship" which I showed to Jane and which Stephanie now is going to translate from German into English. You will get one of the first copies. That does not mean that Jehovah's Witnesses don't have any right teachings or understandings. On average they have more right things than all other religions that are even much worse than them. But for Iouo that what the Witnesses teach is much too unsatisfactory and also too unclean.

Now, dear Jane, to your other questions:

Exodus 4:24-26

Why did Iouo want to kill Moses? The exact text in the original says: And Iouo met him and seeked the death of him. That means: Iouo met Moses and gave him the impression that he now needs to be killed. Why did Iouo do that? Because Moses as offspring of Abraham ought to have circumcised his son. The law for Abraham's offspring is written in Genesis 17:9-14. The refusing to circumcise was punished with a death sentence. It was gross disrespect towards Iouo. Moses had involuntarily shown such disrespect. His sons remained uncircumcised. Therefore Zipporah, his wife, interfered and calmed God's anger by circumcising her son. Iouo thus withdrew his anger and kept Moses alive. Of course Iouo was only teaching something to Moses, not really intending to kill him, but showing him his anger about disrespect and disobedience and showing to him how quickly and how easily Iouo can be offended.

Exodus 9:6,19

Were not all animals killed already according to verse 6, how then could there be a further killing of animals according to verse 19? The easy answer is: The original text doesn't say that all animals died. You just have a weak translation. The text says: A lot of cattle died. The word: All, also means: A lot of! The context shows that here a lot of is meant.

Exodus 10:1,2

Iouo made Pharaoh stubborn. How can a good God produce stubbornness in a person. God doesn't produce some wickedness in someone's heart. That is hard to understand. The original text shows: God (here: I) made his heart and the hearts of his servants grievous. Another possible translation is: I made his heart laden. So the word stubborn is not the best translation because it blames God for that. But Iouo only was loading some burden upon Pharaoh's heart by giving him the command: Let my people go!

Question:

How can we know what really belongs to the Bible and that this is coming from God definitely?

We know as far as the Old Testament is concerned what Jesus the son of God was saying about which parts really belong to God's Word. He said in Luke 24:44 that the three parts namely "the Law of Moses" and "the Prophets" and "the Psalms" belong to the Scriptures namely the Word of God also called the Bible. We know from Jewish old sources that these three parts were:

1. the five books of the Torah (= Law written by Moses): Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy
2. the books called the Prophets: Joshua, Judges, Ruth, Samuel (originally one single book), Kings (originally one single book), Isaiah, Jeremiah, Lamentations, Ezekiel, Hosea, Joel, Amos, Obadiah, Jonah, Micah, Nahum, Habakkuk, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah, Malachi
3. the books called the Psalms or Ketuvim: 150 Psalms, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Song of Songs, Job, Esther, Ezra, Nehemiah, Daniel, Chronicles (originally one single book)

So we know that these books really are God's inspired Word today called the Old Testament, because Jesus himself was testifying it. The Catholics, however, count without biblical reasons some Jewish historical books to the really biblical books in the Old Testament, and these false books which Jesus never mentioned are called the Apocrypha. The Apocrypha are no inspired part of the Bible. It is very wicked to add these Apocrypha to the Bible.

The New Testament is quite another case. In the early Christianity after Jesus' death many Christians wrote books or letters about Jesus or about the Christian congregation. After a while also some very fancy stories came up by so-called Gnostics who turned Christendom back to pagan ideas. So around the year 380 C.E. a council in Hippo was held to determine under God's prayerful asked help what of all these books and letters should be kept as Holy Scriptures in addition to the Old Testament. Only 27 books were kept and all of these were books of the very first days of Christianity or of the very first apostles namely John, Matthew, Peter, Luke, Mark, Paul, James and Jude. So we can be sure that these books and letters were still written when God's Holy Spirit was originally active over the first Christians. It is wise to view these books called the New Testament as inspired as the Old Testament. But of course all of that is belief and faith. We cannot

prove absolutely and understandably to anyone that these books are God's Word, too. But all different churches of Christianity view them as God's Word since 1600 years. We should accept this. It is reasonable that Iouo God directed that in this way. There is also an inner proof of logic and contexts and connections and of prophecies. So we have no reason for doubts in the inspiration of God's Word the Bible.

Only some slight changes were made in the long time of the Bible's existence. Especially changes of God's name. But also some other not so many changes of words or expressions sneaked into God's Word, but nevertheless it's amazing how accurate The Bible was handed down to us through history. Iouo was obviously protecting his Word so that practically no wrong thought sneaked into it. Only the later translations into many languages brought different attempts of the translators to bring in own ideas and many false ones. Nevertheless we can rely on our Bibles relatively free from care. So be without worries when reading your Bible daily!